	11		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9	FOR THE CEMETERY AND FUNERAL BUREAU STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA	
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. A1 2018 290	
13	LARSON FAMILY MORTUARY, ERIK J. LARSON	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
14	1828 Broadway St., 2nd Fl #C Santa Monica, CA 90404	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
15	Funeral Establishment License No. FD 2172,		
16	and		
17 18	ERIK J. LARSON 1828 Broadway St., 2nd Fl #C Santa Monica, CA 90404		
19	Funeral Director License No. FDR 3041		
20	Respondent.		
21			
22	FINDINGS OF FACT		
23	1. On or about December 12, 2018, Complainant Sandra Patterson, in her official		
24	capacity as the Acting Bureau Chief of the Cemetery and Funeral Bureau (Bureau), Departmen		
25	of Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation No. A1 2018 290 against Larson Family Mortuary and		
26	Erik J. Larson (Respondent) before the Director of Consumer Affairs (Director). (Accusation		
27	attached as Exhibit A.)		
วดไ	1 111		

	2.	On or about May 30, 2013, the Bureau issued Funeral Establishment License No. FD
2172	to Rea	spondent. The Funeral Establishment License expired on May 31, 2018, and has not
been	renew	red.

- 3. On or about March 26, 2008, the Bureau issued Funeral Director License No. FDR 3041 to Respondent. The Funeral Director License expired on June 18, 2018, and has not been renewed.
- 4. On or about December 26, 2018, Respondent was served by Certified and First Class Mail copies of the Accusation No. A1 2018 290, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1203, is required to be reported and maintained with the Bureau. Respondent's address of record was and is: 1828 Broadway St., 2nd Fl #C, Santa Monica, CA 90404.
- 5. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505(c) and/or Business and Professions Code section 124.
 - 6. Government Code section 11506(c) states, in pertinent part:
 - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense . . . and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation . . . not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense . . . shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. The Bureau takes official notice of its records and the fact that Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. A1 2018 290.
 - 8. California Government Code section 11520(a) states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense . . . or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Director finds Respondent is in default. The Director will take action without further hearing and, based on the

relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Director's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. A1 2018 290, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. A1 2018 290, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.

10. The Director finds that the actual costs for Investigation and Enforcement are \$2,079.92 as of February 12, 2019.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Larson Family Mortuary has subjected its Funeral Establishment License No. FD 2172 and Erik J. Larson has subjected his Funeral Director License No. FDR 3041 to discipline.
 - 2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 3. The Director of Consumer Affairs is authorized to revoke Respondent's Funeral Establishment License and Funeral Director License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case:
- a. Business and Professions Code sections 490 and 7691, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1252, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensed Funeral Establishment License and a licensed Funeral Director License which to a substantial degree evidences his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, as follows:
- i. On or about June 5, 2018, Respondent was found guilty by a jury and convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 368(d) [theft of \$950.00 or more from an elderly or dependent adult], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Erik Joseph Larson* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2017, No. BA452474).
- ii. On or about January 18, 2017, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 242 [battery], in the

1	criminal proceeding entitled The People of the State of California v. Erik Joseph Larson (Super-			
2	Ct. Los Angeles County, 2017, No. 7WC00236).			
3	<u>ORDER</u>			
4	IT IS SO ORDERED that Funeral Establishment License No. FD 2172, issued to			
5	Respondent Larson Family Mortuary, Erik J. Larson, is revoked.			
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Funeral Director License No. FDR 3041, issued to			
7	Respondent Erik J. Larson, is revoked.			
8	Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a			
9	written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within			
10	seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may			
11	vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.			
12	This Decision shall become effective on May 2, 2019. It is so ORDERED April 2, 2019 RYAN MARCROFT Deputy Director, Legal Affairs Department of Consumer Affairs			
13				
14				
15				
16				
17	Department of Consumer Titleto			
18	63132449_2.DOCX DOJ Matter ID:LA2018602324			
19	Attachment:			
20	Exhibit A: Accusation			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

Exhibit A

Accusation

(LARSON FAMILY MORTUARY and BRIK J. LARSON)

	11		
1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO Senior Assistant Attorney General ARMANDO ZAMBRANO		
2			
3			
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 225325		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6322 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	DEFO		
9	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	FOR THE CEMETERY AND FUNERAL BUREAU STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Manager of the American		
	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. A1 2018 290	
13	LARSON FAMILY MORTUARY, ERIK J. LARSON A C C U S A T I O N		
14	1828 Broadway St., 2nd F1 #C Santa Monica, CA 90404		
15	Funeral Establishment No. FD 2172,	,	
16	and		
17	ERIK J. LARSON 1828 Broadway St., 2nd Fl #C		
18	Santa Monica, CA 90404 Funeral Director No. FDR 3041		
19			
20	Respondent.		
21	Complainant alleges:		
22	<u>PARTIES</u>		
23	1. Sandra Patterson (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
24	as the Acting Bureau Chief of the Cemetery and Funeral (Bureau), Department of Consumer		
25	Affairs.		
26	2. On or about May 30, 2013, the Bureau issued Funeral Establishment License No. FD		
27	2172 to Larson Family Mortuary, Erik J. Larson (Respondent). The Funeral Establishment		
28	License expired on May 31, 2018, and has not been renewed.		
	· · · ·	1	

3. On or about March 26, 2008, the Bureau issued Funeral Director License No. FDR 3041 to Erik J. Larson (Respondent). The Funeral Director License expired on June 18, 2018, and has not been renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 5. Section 118(b), of the Code provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board, Registrar, or Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 6. Section 7686 of the Code provides that the bureau may suspend or revoke licenses, after proper notice and hearing to the licensee, if the licensee has been found guilty by the bureau of any of the acts or omissions constituting grounds for disciplinary action. The proceedings under this article shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code, and the bureau shall have all the powers granted therein.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 7. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - 8. Section 7691 of the Code states:

Conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of the license holder in question constitutes a ground for disciplinary action. The record of conviction, or a certified copy thereof, shall be conclusive evidence of such conviction.

9. Section 7692 of the Code states:

Misrepresentation or fraud in the conduct of the business or the profession of a funeral director or embalmer constitutes a ground for disciplinary action.

10. Section 7707 of the Code states:

Gross negligence, gross incompetence or unprofessional conduct in the practice of funeral directing or embalming constitutes a ground for disciplinary action.

11. Section 7709 of the Code states:

A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The bureau may order the license suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment.

REGULATORY PROVISION

12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1252 states:

For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensed funeral establishment, licensed funeral director, or licensed embalmer if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensed funeral establishment, licensed funeral director, or licensed embalmer to perform the functions authorized by his license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

- (a) Conviction of a crime involving fiscal dishonesty.
- (b) Any violation of the provisions of Chapter 12, Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code.

COST RECOVERY

13. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

-///

| | ///

 $/\!/\!/$

///

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)

- 14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490 and 7691, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1252, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensed funeral establishment and a licensed funeral director which to a substantial degree evidences his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, as follows:
- a. On or about June 5, 2018, Respondent was found guilty by a jury and convicted of one felony count of violating Penal Code section 368(d) [theft of \$950.00 or more from an elderly or dependent adult], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Erik Joseph Larson* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2017, No. BA452474). The court sentenced Respondent to three years in county jail, placed him on one-year probation with mandatory supervision, and ordered him to pay fees and restitution.
- b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that in July 2016, an estate planning attorney notified the Los Angeles Police Department about suspected financial elder abuse. The investigation revealed that S.W., a 70-year old female, who suffers from Alzheimer disease, had buried her twin sister in February 2016 and used the services of the Respondent. Soon thereafter, the Respondent made contact with S.W. and moved into the residence of the deceased sister. The Respondent subsequently produced a new will which purportedly made him an heir and gave half of S.W's estate to the Respondent. Police also discovered over \$26,000 in unauthorized withdrawals from S.W.'s bank account into the Respondent's bank account. S.W.'s attorney told police that these withdrawals are not funeral expenses because the deceased sister's funeral expenses had already been paid. He added that S.W. has no surviving family members and no close friends and S.W. had planned to leave her entire estate to an animal care charity.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

.18

19

20

21

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)

- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490 and 7691, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1252, in that Respondent was convicted of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensed funeral establishment and a licensed funeral director which to a substantial degree evidences his present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by his license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, as follows:
- a. On or about January 18, 2017, after pleading nolo contendere, Respondent was convicted of one misdemeanor count of violating Penal Code section 242 [battery], in the criminal proceeding entitled *The People of the State of California v. Erik Joseph Larson* (Super. Ct. Los Angeles County, 2017, No. 7WC00236). The court sentenced Respondent to 21 days in jail, placed him on three years' probation with terms and conditions, ordered him to complete a 52-week domestic violence treatment program, and pay fees and restitution.
- b. The circumstances surrounding the conviction are that on or about January 9, 2017, a Los Angeles County Sheriff's deputy responded to a domestic violence call involving Respondent. During an argument with his pregnant wife, Respondent pushed the victim and pulled her hair while she was holding a one-year-old baby. The victim tried to call 911 for help and the Respondent took the phone away and disconnected the call. The victim was taken to the hospital by paramedics.

///

22 | ///

23 | ///

24 | ///

25 | 1///

26 | ///

27 | ///

8 | ///

28

28